

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,652	11/16/2001	David J. Green	0325.00488	2156
21363	7590 11/20/2003		EXAM	INER
	PHER P. MAIORANA	EHICHIOYA, FRED I		
24025 GREATER MACK SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. CLAIR SHORES, MI 48080			2172	1-
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/20/200	, ¬

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		417				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/992,652	GREEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fred I. Ehichioya	2172				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st:  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice unde						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 20 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11 - 20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) $\square$ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	теction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur</li></ul>	ents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	estic priority under 35 U.S.C e first sentence of the specifi	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet.				
a) The translation of the foreign language	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of						
. S.	opcomodion or in dir.	pp				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2)		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2172

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1 – 20 are pending in this office action.

## Answer to Arguments With Traverse

Where the initial requirement is traversed, it should be reconsidered. If, upon reconsideration, the examiner is still of the opinion that restriction is proper, it should be repeated and made final in the next Office action. (See MPEP § 803.01.)

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1 – 10, Group I in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner has not presented objective evidence or appropriate explanation for conclusory statement that Group I has separate utility from Group II.

This is not found persuasive because Inventions in Group I and Group II are distinct. The extracting error detection acquires a separate searching area in class 714/725. While the storing and generating programming items of a file is classified in class 707/200.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,652 Page 3

Art Unit: 2172

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,730,348 issued to John E. MacCrisken (hereafter "MacCrisken") in view of U.S. Patent 6,487,709 issued to Eric R. Keller (hereinafter "Keller").

Regarding claim 1, MacCrisken teaches a method of generating a file suitable for programming a programmable logic device, the method comprising the steps of:

- (B) compressing said programming item to present a compressed item (see column 5, lines 59 67);
- (D) storing said compressed item in a non-programming field of said file in response to compressing (see column 4, lines 62 67).

MacCrisken does not explicitly teach (A) generating a programming item from a plurality of parameters that define a program for said programmable logic device; and (C) storing said programming item in a programming field of said file in response to generating.

Keller teaches (A) generating a programming item from a plurality of parameters that define a program for said programmable logic device (see column 4, lines 48 - 65 and column 5, lines 1 - 24; "inputs are parameters – see Abstract"); and

Art Unit: 2172

(C) storing said programming item in a programming field of said file in response to generating (see column 4, lines 15 – 17; Keller discloses "programming bits as programming items").

Page 4

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify teaching of Keller with the teaching of MacCrisken wherein the stored programming bits are used in the configuration of programmable logic device. The motivation is that these bits level could be written in many different programming languages.

Regarding claim 2, Keller teaches the step of storing at least one of said parameters in a second non-programming field of said file (see column 5, lines 15 – 17).

Regarding claim 3, MacCrisken teaches the step of generating a dictionary for compressing prior to compressing said programming item (see column 5, lines 61 – 68 and column 2, lines 1 – 12, MacCrisken discloses "Tables as dictionary").

Regarding claim 4, MacCrisken teaches wherein said dictionary is generated independently of said compressing step (see column 6, lines 57 – 68, MacCrisken discloses "Lookup tables as dictionary").

Regarding claim 5, MacCrisken teaches said compressing is a Huffman encoding and said dictionary is a Huffman tree (see column 5, lines 65 – 67).

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding claim 6, MacCrisken teaches the step of encoding said compressed item from a binary representation to a symbol representation in response to compressing (see column 5, lines 60 - 67).

Regarding claim 7, MacCrisken teaches the step of mapping said symbol representation to a character representation in response to encoding (see column 1, lines 23 – 28).

Regarding claim 8, MacCrisken and Keller teach the claimed subject matter as discussed in claim 1. MacCrisken teaches generating an error detection item (see column 6, lines 39 - 49); and

storing said error detection item in a second nonprogramming field of said file (see column 20, lines 42 - 46).

Regarding claim 10, MacCrisken teaches said steps (A) through (D) are stored in a storage medium as a computer program that is readable and executable by a computer to generate said file (see column 9, lines 23 – 30).

 Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacCrisken in view of Keller and further in view of U.S. Patent 6,177,892 issued to Eric Ko (hereinafter "Ko").

**Art Unit: 2172** 

Regarding claim 9, MacCrisken and Keller teach the claimed subject matter as discussed in claim 8. MacCrisken further teaches the steps of:

decompressing said compressed item to present a backup programming item (see column 6, lines 7 - 14); and

validating said backup programming item with said error detection item (see column 7, lines 61 – 63).

MacCrisken or Keller does not explicitly teach extracting said error detection item from said file; extracting said compressed item from said file;

Ko teaches extracting said error detection item from said file (see column 4, lines 4-6);

extracting said compressed item from said file (see column 4, lines 31 - 34);

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify teaching of Ko with the teaching of MacCrisken and Keller wherein error detection and compressed data are extracted. The motivation is that the extracted data items are used in synchronizing the programmable logic device data output.

Art Unit: 2172

#### Conclusion

Page 7

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred I. Ehichioya whose telephone number is 703-305-8039. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on 703-305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-303-3900.

Fred I. Ehichioya Examiner Art Unit 2172 November 15, 2003

> SHAHID ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dam